

STUDENT LEGAL SERVICE

ANNUAL REPORT

July 1, 1986 - June 30, 1987

Student Legal Service
268 Illini Union
1401 West Green Street
Urbana, Illinois 61801
(217) 333-9053

INDEX

Attorneys' Report pp. 2-5

1987 Survey of Student Legal Service Programs . p. 6

Appendix

U.I.U.C. Advertisements. p. i

Surveys of Student Legal Service Programs . pp. ii-v

Publications pp. vi-viii

S.O.R.F. Refund Forms pp. ix-x

Educational Activities p. xi

Statistics pp. xii-xxv

ATTORNEYS' REPORT

This past year has been one of stabilization and strengthening of the Student Legal Service program. During the Fall 1986 semester weekly U.I.U.C. advertisements ran in the Daily Illini promoting the program. (See Appendix, p. i). In excess of 5,000 pieces of informational literature were distributed at the annual Quad Day festivities. Student attorneys contributed comments and opinions on at least five articles published by the Daily Illini which promoted legal knowledge and the use of the service. All registered student organizations were informed about the service through an informational mailing in Fall 1986.

As of December, 1986, the program has employed Elizabeth Dowling as its full-time permanent secretary. Ms. Dowling has proven to be a highly competent and efficient asset to the office. Brenda S. Hook and Thomas E. Betz continue to be employed as full-time attorneys in the office. Brenda Hook is a 1985 graduate of the University of Illinois College of Law and a member of the Illinois State Bar Association and has been at the Student Legal Service since May, 1985. Thomas Betz is a 1981 graduate of Wayne State University Law School and a member of the State Bar of Michigan, Illinois State Bar Association, Champaign County Bar Association and the National Lawyer's Guild. He has been at the Student Legal Service since January, 1986. During this reporting period the office also utilized the services of four unpaid law student interns: Vasant K. Gandhi, Reid Stiefel, Steven Holland and Christine Mourikes. Each intern contributed time and substantial effort to the office while gaining practical legal skills.

In the Spring semester the Student Legal Service Advisory Board asked the attorneys to conduct a survey of various Student Legal Service Programs in Illinois and in the Big Ten. (Results published in Appendix, pp. ii-v). Although the surveys were fairly general, the results do offer a basis of comparison and suggest avenues for the future direction of our program.

An important aspect of Student Legal Service's educational function is making available to students a selection of free informational pamphlets on law and law-related topics. These pamphlets are published by various agencies and organizations such as the Attorney General's office, the Secretary of State's office and state and local bar associations. More than thirty different pamphlets are now available in the Student Legal Service office and have been made more accessible to students by being conspicuously located in the client waiting area. This effort has been quite successful. Many of the pamphlets are so popular that they have been re-ordered several times.

Our publication program has been extensive during the past year. During the past year we published "Student Legal Service Program", "Information About Divorce", and "Guidelines for Traffic Offenses", (Appendix, pp. vi-viii). Each brochure is highly compact but comprehensive in terms of the questions and needs of most students. The Organization Fund Advisory Board (O.F.A.B.) partially funded the divorce and traffic pamphlets. The program continues to utilize the dwindling supply of our booklet 'Student Legal Service Guide to Alcohol Law' which we hope to revise during the coming year. The office has approximately 50 copies left of the booklet 'Your Legal Rights and Responsibilities'. This booklet is our most comprehensive piece of literature, however, it is greatly outdated and therefore cannot be safely distributed. We look forward to a complete revision of this piece in the coming year and the allocation of substantial funding from the budget for this purpose.

Our preventive legal education program in the area of housing working with the Tenant Union continues to be one of the most useful functions of the office. The Spring residence hall seminars attract substantial student attendance and as a consequence fewer students with landlord-tenant problems require litigation.

In May of 1987 the program received a permanent budget increase from \$72,000 annually to \$89,900 annually. The efforts of the Student Legal Service Advisory Board and the strong support for this program by the S.O.R.F. Board made this increase possible. The new budget plan should relieve the financial stress historically faced by the program and should permit expansion of educational service and long range planning.

Use of the computer in the Student Legal Service office has continued to increase. Legal documents, office forms, statistics, and Board meeting minutes are all being done on the computer, resulting in greater efficiency. Ms. Dowling, the Student Legal Service secretary, has attended computer education classes at the University which have proven to be beneficial.

Certain issues remain unresolved. The problem of S.O.R.F. fee refunds continues to be troublesome. Approximately 20 students per month try to use the service after having received their \$4.00 refund. Many times they have highly meritorious and/or emergency cases which would normally be accepted. Hopefully, the new S.O.R.F. refund form will decrease this problem. Currently, an appeal process exists; however, the student is rarely allowed to pay back the fee. (See Appendix pp. ix, x). Consideration should be given to abolishing the appeal process completely in order to strengthen S.O.R.F. and prevent false hope by those who obtain a refund.

The attorneys believe that greater communication is needed between the Student Legal Service and the various other campus offices which provide service to students. Many Student Legal Service clients have other needs in addition to their legal needs, and the attorneys find it helpful to refer them to the appropriate campus office, such as McKinley Health Center's Student Educated About Alcohol Program (S.E.A.), the Ombudsman, the Counseling Center, and the Tenant Union, to name a few. Likewise, those other offices probably encounter students who need legal assistance. However, except for the Tenant Union, the other student service offices do not have much contact with Student Legal Service, and many appear to not be fully aware of the Student Legal Service's services, just as Student Legal Service may not be fully aware of their services. Better communication and awareness would increase the effectiveness of appropriate referrals, and students would be better served. One suggestion is to have an annual meeting of all the student service offices, at which each office would make a 5-10 minute presentation of its program and provide pamphlets and other written material about its program.

The attorneys occasionally face problems in the area of housing in representing tenants where the property is owned by the University of Illinois but leased back to a private landlord who rents out the property. The private landlord has a very strong economic incentive not to repair the property for the benefit of the tenants. The University and Campus Legal Counsel offices are aware of this problem and we look forward to a decrease in the untoward results of the 'leaseback' procedure.

City of Champaign housing code enforcement is less than optimal and is quite inconvenient for students compared with the procedures in Urbana. Champaign insists on in person reports of code violations contrasted with the telephone reports allowed in Urbana. Allowing complaints to be made over the telephone would greatly facilitate student access. Much more vigorous enforcement is necessary in both communities. The City of Champaign could greatly enhance code compliance by adopting a repair and deduct procedure as presently allowed in the City of Urbana. Champaign could also strengthen the security of damage deposits by requiring simple interest on all non owner-occupied units as is presently done in Urbana.

In the area of certified housing, vigorous inspection remains an absolute necessity to avoid the type of problems faced by tenants at Newman Hall this past year. Similarly, certified housing standards, reciprocity, etc. to the extent possible should apply to all certified housing.

Another concern is the apparent arrangement between the University and the Champaign Police Department whereby

fraternity members are given a certain number of warnings before being cited for noise violations, while non-fraternity members are not accorded the same privilege. The attorneys have received numerous complaints from students who believe this practice to be unfair and discriminatory.

The Student Legal Service office looks forward to a strong, financially sound future with the desire to ameliorate the difficulties previously mentioned.

1987 SURVEY OF STUDENT LEGAL SERVICE PROGRAMS

In the early spring of 1987 the Student Legal Service Advisory Board received a set of two surveys of various Student Legal Service programs in the Midwest. The attorneys conducted a survey of Illinois universities and a survey of 'Big Ten' universities. The results from each survey permit some general useful conclusions. Within the State of Illinois and within the 'Big Ten', the University of Illinois Student Legal Service ranks very low in terms of overall funding. The foregoing statement remains true even after the most recent budget floor increase is accounted for. The refundable S.O.R.F. system of funding may account for some of this discrepancy. The fiscally larger programs generally do not rely upon refundable fees as a means of support.

The surveys indicate that attorney compensation is highly variable; however, many universities do provide some form of health insurance, payment of bar dues, etc., as a means of retaining attorneys on a long term basis and thus enhance program stability and expertise.

All programs provide services in basically the same areas of law, although some programs do not provide in court representation. Tenant matters are uniformly a component of each program. The type of cases handled in each program are not the type that private practitioners would handle on a routine basis; this is particularly true in the area of representing tenants. The concept of student legal service clearly continues to serve a substantial need that cannot or will not generally be met by the private bar due to the fact that students are by definition voluntarily poor and thus usually unable to afford counsel for relatively minor cases. Similarly, because students are voluntarily poor, they will rarely qualify for services provided by the Legal Services Corporations and its branch programs.

Based upon our conversations with various student legal services attorneys, it is clear that the program at the University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign remains a very well respected program. The fact that the program ranks low in terms of funding does not necessarily diminish the quality of services provided, although the number of cases is necessarily diminished because of the limited number of staff. No program offers a total full service program.

The surveys were of some use in obtaining a budget increase for our program and also provided the Student Legal Service Advisory Board with some insight into the status of other programs. The results should not be read to conclude that our program is inferior in any way other than in terms of total budget. Such surveys should be conducted occasionally for general purposes by the Student Legal Service staff attorneys or the Student Legal Service Advisory Board.

STUDENT LEGAL SERVICE IS HERE TO SERVE YOU

If you need legal assistance, go to 268 Illini Union in person to set up an appointment. No advice can be given over the telephone. Hours: Monday through Friday, 8:30-Noon; 1:00-4:30 P.M. The office is staffed by two full-time attorneys.

SERVICES PROVIDED

The Student Legal Service provides legal assistance and courtroom representation in many legal matters encountered by students including housing, family, consumer, traffic, auto accident or insurance problems, and some criminal matters. Student Legal Service cannot represent students in matters involving real estate, wills, business ventures or most personal bodily injuries, nor can it represent one student against another, nor a student against the University.

The Student's Attorneys also offer law-related educational services to all University students through seminars, workshops and publications.

WHO IS ELIGIBLE

The Student Legal Service is funded by your \$4.00 Student Organization Resource Fee (S.O.R.F.). Only students who do not receive a S.O.R.F. refund qualify for assistance from Student Legal Service.

Legal advice and counseling are offered to all students not seeking a S.O.R.F. refund. Courtroom representation is provided in many matters for which a student would be financially unable to retain a private attorney. Students eligible for representation include those who are receiving any type of need - based financial aid through the University or those whose financial resources place them within the "Modest But Adequate Budget" established by the University. In addition, students who have not received a S.O.R.F. refund may be eligible for courtroom representaion in housing matters.

See a lawyer before you need a lawyer!

Thomas E. Betz, Staff Attorney
Brenda S. Hook, Staff Attorney

STUDENT LEGAL SERVICE WELCOMES YOU BACK TO CAMPUS

The staff of the Student Legal Service welcomes you back to the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign. During the coming year you may face a variety of legal questions; problems with your landlord, traffic tickets, domestic relations, consumer problems, etc. Student Legal Service exists to help you solve these and many other legal difficulties. If you need legal help go to 268 Illini Union in person to make an appointment. Hours: 8:30 a.m. to Noon, 1:00 p.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday.

Services Provided

The Student Legal Service provides legal assistance and courtroom representation in many legal matters encountered by students. However, Student Legal Service cannot represent students in matters involving real estate, wills, business ventures or most personal bodily injuries, nor can it represent one student against another, nor a student against the University.

Educational Program

The attorneys also offer law related educational services to all University students through seminars, workshops and publications.

Who is Eligible

The Student Legal Service is funded by your \$4.00 Student Organization Resource Fee (SORF). Only students who do not receive a S.O.R.F. refund qualify for assistance from Student Legal Service. Two full-time attorneys are available to provide counseling and courtroom representation in many matters. The Student Legal Service staff urges you to take advantage of the service.

Welcome Back,

Thomas E. Betz
Staff Attorney

Brenda S. Hook
Staff Attorney

| | Number of Students | Number of Attorneys | Contract or Staff Basis C/S | Attorney Salary** | Early Budget | Office Rent Paid? | Paralegals Used? | Health Benefits-Attorneys? | Number of Days Sick/Personal (days) | Number of Bar Dues Paid? | Attorneys Engage in outside practice of law? |
|------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| U of I U-C | 36,000 | 2 | C | \$23,500 \$21,400 | \$72,000 | No | No | No | 24 | No | No |
| U of I Chicago | 26,000 | 1 | C | \$27,500 | \$64,000 | No | No | No | 72 | Yes | Yes |
| EIU | 10,000 | 1 | S | \$22,000 | \$47,581 | No | Yes | Yes | 36 | Yes | Yes |
| WIU | 10,000 | 1 | C | \$19-25,000 | \$44,000 | No | No | No | ? | ? | ? |
| NIU | 25,000 | 2 | C | \$31,414.92 25,253.64 | \$85,000 | No | Yes | No | 15 | Yes | Yes |
| ISU | 20,000 | 3 | C | \$26,913 \$21,955 \$20,539 | \$105,000 | No | Yes | No | 14 | No | Yes |
| SIU Edwardsville | 12,000 | 1 | C | \$23,200 | \$37,000 | No | No | Yes | 22 | Yes | Yes |
| SIU Carbondale | 20,000 | 2 | C | \$31,004.59 22,932.59 | \$92,000 | No | No | Yes | ? | ? | Yes |

**Does not reflect years of service as a variable.

1. Number of students.
2. Number of attorneys.
3. Attorney on contractual/staff basis.
4. Attorney salary - Note this does not reflect years of service as a variable.
5. Yearly student legal service budget.
6. Does budget pay for office rent? Note - budget does pay for phone in all programs.
7. Does program have paid paralegals?
8. Do attorneys receive health benefits?
9. Yearly attorney sick/personal days.
10. Does program pay bar dues and/or attorney registration fee?
11. Are attorneys generally permitted to engage in outside practice of law, i.e. without prior approval of board or administration?

"BIG TEN" CURRENT LEGAL SERVICE SURVEY

FULL SERVICE PROGRAMS

| Student Body | SIS Budget | No of Attys | Atty's Salaries | Office Rent | Legal Asst's | Paid Interns | Atty's Health Benefits | Atty's Sick/Personal Days | Atty's Dues & Reg Fees | Funding | Type of Case | Case Excl | Repr in Court | Outside Practice by Attys |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------------|--------------|------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|------------------------|---|---------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------|
| U of Minn St. Paul/ Minneapolis | 45,500 \$483,367 | 6 | \$36,000 Dir Staff Att 27,616 32,815 2-9 yr exp | 0 | 2 | 2 | Full benef health/unem life, tuit waiver | 14 then need Dr. slip, Pd to 6 Mo. | Pd | Mandatory student service fee, Non-refund | LL/T, Crim, Mis, Dom R | Svs Med, Svs Felony Fee | Yes | Yes |
| U of Mich Ann Arbor | 30,500 257,730 | 4 | 30,750 Dir 18,500 19,795 6 mo to 1 1/2 yr exp | 0 | 0 | 0 | Full-HMO | 12 sick 3 pers | Pd | Mandatory stu gov fee Non-refund | LL/T, C Tr/Dom R | SvU Svs Fee Felony | Yes | Yes |
| Ind. U | 33,000 150,000 | 2 full-t 1 3/4 t 1 1/2 t | 25,000 to 50,000 5-16 yrs. | 0 | 0 | 30 2nd 3rd stds work study | Yes, full Univ. coverage | Full Univ pkg. | No | 60% Mand. fee 40% Gen Fund | | Crim, Business Appeals | Yes | No |
| Mich St. U, East Lansing | 44,000 100,000 | 1 1/2 | Subcont. \$62,000 to a private law firm | 0 | 0 | 0 Subcont. may hire clerks at will | NA | NA | NA | Refund \$4.50 std tax | LL/T, C Mis, Tr | Svs SvU | Yes | Yes |
| U of IL | 36,000 72,000 | 2 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | No | Refund SORF | LL/T, C Tr, Dom R Small C | Svs SvU Felony Fee | Yes | No |
| U of Iowa | 30,000 24,000 | 1 | 20,000 2 yrs with SLS | 0 | 2 pd coord | 0 | NO | 12 | Pd | Mand stds fee | Crim, Misc LL/T, Dom R | Svs SvU Felony Tax Divorce Bankr | Yes | Yes |

"BIG TEN" STUDENT LEGAL SERVICE SURVEY

LIMITED (NON-LAWYER) PROGRAMS

| Student Body | SLS Budget | No of Atty's Salaries | Office Rent | Paid Legal Asst | Interns Paid | Atty's Health Benefits | Atty's Sick/Personal Days | Atty's Dues & Reg Fees | Funding | Type of Case | Case Excl | Repr in Court | Outside Practice by Attys |
|--|----------------|-----------------------|-------------|-----------------|--------------|------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------|---------------|---------------------------|
| Northwest U. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| U of Wisc Madison (Students & Improv-ished comm) | 41,816 \$3,800 | 0 | Yes | No | No | 0 | 0 | 0 | U Fee Bar Ass Grants Fund-Raisers | LL/T S Claim Dom R Std Loans | Crim | No | NA |
| Purdue U | 37,000 1,870 | Acts as Adviser 1 | 0 | No | No | 0 | 0 | 0 | Student Gov. Allocates | LL/T Tr | SVU Crim | No | NA |
| Ohio State (No Program) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Key to Abbreviations:

- exp = experience
- LL/T = Landlord/tenant
- Misc = Miscellaneous
- Dom R = Domestic Relations
- Tr = Traffic
- C or Crim = Criminal
- Small C = Small Claims
- SVS = Student v. Student
- SVU = Student v. University

IMPORTANT PHONE NUMBERS

UNIVERSITY:

- Consumer Services 333-6358
- Counseling Center 333-3704
- Housing Information Office 333-1420
- Ombudsman 333-1345
- Student Legal Service 333-9053
- Tenant Union 333-0113

COMMUNITY:

- Attorney General's Office 333-7691
- Battered Women's Hotline 384-4390
- Champaign Community Relations (Discrimination) 351-4455

- Champaign County Housing Authority 367-1184

- Champaign Division of Code Enforcement 351-4433

- Land of Lincoln Legal Assistance 356-1351

- Lawyer Referral Service 1-800-252-8916

- Public Aid 352-7981
- Secretary of State 333-5729

- State of Illinois Human Rights Department (Discrimination) 1-785-5100

- Tax Information (I.R.S.) 1-800-424-1040
- Traffic Court 384-3717

- Urbana Division of Code Enforcement (Building Inspectors) 384-2431

- Urbana Human Relations Officer (Discrimination) 384-2466

- Urbana Zoning 384-2444
- Voter Registration 384-3724

- Women's Emergency Shelter 352-7151

AVAILABLE SERVICES

- * Landlord-Tenant
- * Traffic
- * Misdemeanors
- * Name Changes
- * Uncontested Divorces
- * City Ordinance Violations
- * Small Claims
- * Auto Accidents & Insurance Problems
- * Other Miscellaneous Problems

EXCLUDED SERVICES

- * Claims Against the University of Illinois & The State of Illinois
- * Income-Producing Activities
- * Felonies
- * Contingent Fee Matters
- * Student vs. Student
- * Real Estate Matters (Other than landlord-tenant)
- * Wills and Trusts
- * Courtroom Representation Outside of Champaign County

STUDENT LEGAL SERVICE PROGRAM

FA

AT THE UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS
URBANA-CHAMPAIGN

268 ILLINI UNION
1401 W. GREEN, URBANA

HOURS: M-F 8:30-12:00
1:00- 4:30

PHONE: (217) 333-9053

WHO IS ELIGIBLE?

All students enrolled at the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign who have not received a refund of the \$4.00 Student Organization Resource Fee (SORF) are eligible to use the Student Legal Service.

PURPOSE OF THE STUDENT LEGAL SERVICE

The purpose of the Student Legal Service is to inform students of practical aspects of the law as applied to their individual problems.

In addition, in-court representation is available in many cases. Priority is given to matters for which a student would be financially unable to retain a private attorney. This is determined by income guidelines established by the Student Legal Service Plan. It is believed that a majority of students will qualify for individual representation under those guidelines.

LOCATION AND HOURS

The Student Legal Service is located at 268 Illini Union (second floor, South Wing). The phone number is (217) 333-9053.

The office is open from 8:30 a.m. to 12:00 noon and from 1:00 p.m. to 4:30 p.m. Monday through Friday throughout the school year, including summer sessions. The office is closed on Saturdays, Sundays, and University holidays.

HOW TO USE THE SERVICE

To use the Student Legal Service, you must come to the office in person. You will be required to show your student identification card, and you will be asked to fill out an intake questionnaire providing a brief description of your legal problem or question. This makes it possible for any necessary research to be done prior to your appointment. Normally you will not have to wait longer than three days for your appointment. If you have a legal problem or anticipate one, give it your early attention. Don't wait until the day before you are to appear in court to contact the Student Legal Service

NO LEGAL ADVICE WILL BE GIVEN OVER THE TELEPHONE. Business may not be conducted with an unknown party. This policy is for the protection of your privacy.

THE STAFF

The staff of the Student Legal Service consists of two full-time attorneys, one full-time secretary, and several part-time law student interns from the University's College of Law who work under the supervision of the attorneys.

ATTORNEYS AND THEIR CLIENTS

In discharging their responsibilities at the Student Legal Service, the staff attorneys are subject to professional ethics. The following policies are in accordance with those professional ethical responsibilities.

CONFIDENTIALITY

An attorney is sworn to preserve the confidences of a client. This rule applies equally to those persons who work with the attorney. Information concerning your case or the contents of your file will under no circumstances be released to third parties without your written authorization. There are no exceptions. You should feel free to discuss openly and candidly all aspects of your problem which you feel may have a bearing on the outcome.

ZEALOUS REPRESENTATION

The attorney assigned to your case is obligated to zealously represent your interests within the bounds of the law.

The attorneys at the Student Legal Service will at all times exercise independent, professional judgment in assessing the merits of a particular matter and in determining whether legal services will be given to an eligible student in that matter.

spouse where he or she lacks sufficient resources to provide for his or her needs, and where the other spouse has sufficient resources to so provide.

Maintenance is not as common as it was in the past. The current trend is to divide the spouses' property in such a way that maintenance will not be necessary.

d. Property Division: Unless otherwise agreed to by the spouses, all property of the spouses (including bank accounts, furnishings, real estate, etc.) is divided according to whether it is classified as marital or non-marital property.

Non-marital property is generally property acquired by a spouse before the marriage or by gift or inheritance, and is awarded to that spouse.

Marital property is all non-marital property and is divided between the spouses in "just proportions" based upon the value of the property, each spouse's contribution to the property, and each spouse's economic situation.

THE DIVORCE HEARING

The divorce hearing takes place before a judge. The nature of the hearing depends upon whether the divorce is contested or uncontested.

In an entirely uncontested divorce, the hearing is brief. The judge hears the Petitioner's testimony as to the grounds and considers the agreement as to maintenance, division of property,

child custody and child support. Unless the judge finds the agreement to be unconscionable, he or she will usually approve the agreement and adopt it as part of the divorce judgment.

A contested divorce hearing will be longer and more complicated depending on which issues are contested. The divorce may be contested in its entirety (both the grounds and the issues of child support, maintenance, etc.) or as to a particular aspect (for example, just maintenance). The judge will consider evidence relevant to the contested issue and base his or her decision upon the appropriate legal guidelines.

THE STUDENT LEGAL SERVICE AND YOUR DIVORCE

The Student Legal Service may represent you in your divorce matter under the following circumstances:

1. You are a student currently enrolled at the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign and have not taken a refund of your SORF fee.
2. You meet the financial eligibility requirements established by the Student Legal Service Advisory Board.
3. Your spouse is not a student at the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign.
4. All aspects of the divorce are uncontested.
5. The divorce will be filed in Champaign County.
6. You and/or your spouse do not own any real estate.

Information

about

D I V O R C E

Student Legal Service
268 Illini Union
1401 W. Green Street
Urbana, IL 61801
(217) 333-9053

Hours: M-F 8:30-12:00
1:00- 4:30

This booklet is designed to answer questions commonly asked by students about divorce. It is not a substitute for consulting with an attorney regarding one's particular case. In addition, the booklet is based on Illinois law. The law in other states may differ.

Paid for by OFAB and SORF

INITIATING THE DIVORCE

A divorce is initiated by one of the spouses filing a petition at the courthouse. This spouse is called the "Petitioner". The spouse against whom the Petition is filled is called the "Respondent". The Petitioner must also have the Respondent served with a summons, unless the Respondent signs a document waiving the summons.

JURISDICTION

The divorce petition must be filed in a state that has jurisdiction. To have jurisdiction in Illinois, for example, at least one of the spouses must be a resident of Illinois or be stationed in the military in Illinois when the divorce petition is filed, and this residency or military presence must have been maintained for at least 90 days up to the date the petition is filed or must be maintained up to the date of the divorce hearing.

GROUNDNS

The petitioner must have grounds for the divorce. The grounds may be either fault or no-fault and are discussed below.

a. Fault: If using fault grounds, the Petitioner must show that at least one of the following acts or conditions has been true of the Respondent during the marriage without cause or provocation by the Petitioner: extreme and repeated physical or mental cruelty, conviction of a felony or infamous crime, adultery, infect-

ing the Petitioner with V.D., impotence since the marriage began, bigamy, deserting the Petitioner for at least a year, habitual drunkenness or excessive addictive drug use for two years, or attempting to kill the Petitioner.

The most commonly used of the above grounds is that of extreme and repeated mental cruelty.

b. No-Fault: If using no-fault grounds, the Petitioner must show that irreconcilable differences have caused the irremediable breakdown of the marriage, and that efforts at reconciliation have either failed or would be impracticable and not in the best interests of the family. In addition, the spouses must have lived separate and apart continuously for more than two years; however, living separate and apart continuously for at least six months up to the time of the divorce is sufficient if both spouses agree to waive the two-year separation requirement.

CHILD CUSTODY, CHILD SUPPORT, MAINTENANCE, AND PROPERTY DIVISION

The issues of child custody, child support, maintenance, and property division are included in the divorce proceeding. If the spouses are not able to agree as to any or all of these issues, the judge will decide them based upon the legal guidelines discussed below:

a. Child Custody: The best interest of the child is the standard used to determine which spouse is awarded child custody.

The spouse who is not awarded custody is entitled to reasonable visitation rights unless this would seriously endanger the child.

Under certain circumstances, the spouses may be awarded joint custody. This can include sharing physical custody and/or sharing the decision-making process regarding such issues as the child's education, medical care, and religious training. Joint custody is usually recommended only where the parents are able to work well together and reside reasonably close to each other.

In any divorce filed in Champaign County, parents who are unable to agree on the issues of child custody and visitation will be required to participate in a mediation assessment session with a court-approved mediator. The mediator is a neutral third party who meets with the parents to discuss the custody and visitation issues with the goal of reaching an agreement.

b. Child Support: Unless the parents otherwise agree, either or both parents may be required to pay child support. Illinois law has established guidelines as to the amount of child support based upon the number of children and the income of each parent. However, the guidelines are not absolute and may be modified based on the needs and the financial resources of the child and of each parent.

c. Maintenance: Maintenance is the proper legal term for what is commonly called alimony. Maintenance may be awarded to one

still be required where the charges are reduced.

COMMON TRAFFIC LAW QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Q.1. Can I receive a traffic ticket if I am riding a bicycle?

A. Yes. The laws governing automobiles generally apply to bicycles and other similar vehicles.

Q.2. Can I automatically receive court supervision for my first speeding ticket?

A. No. Supervision is discretionary. The higher the speed the less likely it is for supervision to be granted. The judge will evaluate your entire record before granting court supervision.

Q. 3. How many times may I receive court supervision?

A. There is no express limit on the number of times you may receive court supervision; however, in Champaign County the judges will usually grant supervision one time only. Sometimes court supervision will be granted a second time if the earlier supervision is quite old and your record is otherwise good.

Q. 4. What is a docket call?

A. The docket call is a procedure where attorneys declare the status of a given traffic case prior to trial or entry of a negotiated guilty plea.

Q. 5. If the police officer doesn't show on the trial date will my case be dismissed?

A. Yes. Please note, however, that police officers and other witnesses do not have to show at your arraignment, docket call or pre-trial.

Q. 6. Is a traffic ticket invalid if the police officer doesn't read me my "Miranda" rights?

A. No. For routine traffic tickets you need not be informed of your Constitutional rights; rights must be read when you are taken into custody and questioned.

IMPORTANT PHONE NUMBERS

UNIVERSITY OFFICES

Student Legal Service . . . 333-9053
University Police (Emerg.). 333-8911
(Non-Emergency) 333-1216

COUNTY OFFICES

Circuit Clerk
Criminal Division 384-3727
Civil Division. 384-3725
Champaign Police (Emerg.) . 333-8911
(Non-Emergency) 351-4545
82 E. University Ave., C.

Sheriff's Dept. 384-3811
204 E. Main St., U.

State's Attorney's Office . 384-3733

Traffic Court 384-3717
102 S. Bennett St., U.

Urbana Police (Emerg.) . . . 333-8911
(Non-Emergency) 384-2320
400 S. Vine St., U.

STUDENT LEGAL SERVICE

At the University of Illinois
Urbana-Champaign

GUIDELINES FOR

TRAFFIC OFFENSES

T
T
A

268 ILLINI UNION
1401 W. GREEN, URBANA

HOURS: M-F 8:30-12:00
1:00- 4:30

PHONE: (217) 333-9053

STUDENT LEGAL SERVICE GUIDELINES
FOR TRAFFIC CASES

If you are charged with a traffic violation for which you could be sentenced to jail, and you do not have the money to hire a lawyer, the judge will appoint a lawyer to represent you in Court. If you want an attorney appointed to your case, you will have to fill out an Affidavit of Assets and Liabilities to prove your indigency.

Student Legal Service usually will provide an attorney in these cases:

- Driving Under the Influence of Alcohol or Drugs
- Reckless Driving
- Driving While License Revoked or Suspended
- Drag Racing
- Leaving the Scene of an Accident
- Unlawful Use of Driver's License or Permit

In most other routine traffic offenses you can either represent yourself, retain counsel or come to Student Legal Service for advice. Student Legal Service will provide advice and guidance in routine traffic matters and will usually provide In-Court representation if it is a third offense or your license is otherwise at risk of being suspended.

PLEADING GUILTY AT THE WINDOW

If you are in fact guilty and your ticket is a routine citation and is not a third offense or one of the offenses listed above, and is marked appear, it may be wise

for you to pay the ticket at the traffic court clerk's window. This procedure will allow you to avoid the imposition of court costs which may be very substantial and must be paid if you appear before a judge. Court costs will be assessed even if you receive Court Supervision.

YOUR RIGHTS UPON A GUILTY PLEA

If you appear in Court to plead guilty and the offense does not carry a possible jail sentence, a hearing will be held immediately to determine your fine. Before the judge decides upon the fine to be assessed, you will be given an opportunity to explain anything you may want him/her to consider.

If you wish to appeal from your guilty plea or sentence, you must file a written motion to withdraw your guilty plea in the traffic clerk's office within 30 days. You must put all the reasons why you wish to withdraw in your motion, otherwise it cannot be used on appeal.

YOUR RIGHT TO A JURY

If you plead not guilty, you have the right to a jury trial. In a jury trial twelve people who are registered voters in Champaign County are randomly selected. Before the 12 people who will hear your case are finally chosen your lawyer or yourself, if you are representing yourself, will have a chance to question any potential jurors to make sure that they are not biased. Once the jurors are chosen, they must be convinced beyond a reasonable doubt in order to find you guilty.

YOUR RIGHT TO A BENCH TRIAL

Regardless of the type of trial you choose, you have the following rights at trial. The prosecution must prove that you are guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. You have the right to confront the witnesses testifying against you and the right to cross examine these witnesses.

You have the right to testify on your own behalf. But, if you do not wish to testify or to answer any questions, you cannot be forced to testify against yourself. If you choose not to testify, the prosecution cannot hold this against you.

SUPERVISION

This is initially a guilty plea but carries with it certain potential benefits. If the judge grants you supervision, which will be for a fixed period of time, and you do not get another ticket within the supervision period, it will be as if you never got the original ticket.

PLEADING TO A LESSER OFFENSE

The prosecutor sometimes will be willing to reduce a moving violation ticket to a non-moving petty offense. Non-moving petty offenses do not become a part of your driving record, unlike moving violations. This process is sometimes referred to as "pleading to a 12-101." This type of plea is usually negotiated where proof on the original offense is weak, the offender would otherwise suffer a loss of driving privileges, and where the offender presents unique circumstances. A fine and court costs will



STUDENT ORGANIZATION RESOURCE FEE REFUNDS

Date _____

Page _____

WAIVER STATEMENT — I hereby acknowledge receipt of SORF FEE refund from the University of Illinois. I realize that by receiving my SORF refund I am waiving the right to use STUDENT LEGAL SERVICE. It is further understood that I am withdrawing my support from programs sponsored by hundreds of registered student organizations, as well as the Student Tenant Union.

| No. | Soc. Sec. No. | Student's Signature | CHECK ONE | | | | |
|--------------|---------------|---------------------|-------------|-------|-----|-----------|----------------|
| | | | Under-grad. | Grad. | Law | Vet. Med. | Basic Med. Sc. |
| 1 | | | | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | | | |
| 9 | | | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | | |
| 11 | | | | | | | |
| 12 | | | | | | | |
| 13 | | | | | | | |
| 14 | | | | | | | |
| 15 | | | | | | | |
| 16 | | | | | | | |
| 17 | | | | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL | | | | | | | |

No. signatures _____ × _____ = Total this page \$ _____

NOTICE CONCERNING S.O.R.F. REFUND

If Student Legal Service cannot provide service to you because you received a S.O.R.F. refund (number 7 on the back of your Student Identification Card), you may appeal this determination at the office of Willard Broom, Room 2, Downstairs, Student Services Building at the corner of 6th and John Streets.

Educational Activities

August, 1986 - Campus Resource Fair: Student Legal Service booth, pamphlets and information distributed.

August, 1986 - Quad Day: Student Legal Service booth.

November, 1986 - The attorneys spoke on alcohol and the law at a Greeks For Greeks meeting.

November, 1986 - The attorneys spoke on Divorce at a meeting of the Feminist Forum sponsored by the Women's Studies Program and the Office for Women's Resources and Services.

February, 1987 - The attorneys spoke about Student Legal Service and landlord-tenant law at residence halls in connection with the Tenant Union's "Look Before You Lease" program.

March, 1987- Housing Fair - booth.

April, 1987 - The attorneys spoke to graduate students about Student Legal Service and common legal questions asked by students.

May, 1987 - Law Day - Daily Illini newspaper advertised and Student Legal Service booth in the Illini Union foyer.

May, 1987 - Summer Orientation: Student Legal Service information booth with pamphlets.

STUDENT LEGAL SERVICE

Closed Files July 1, 1986 - June 30, 1987

| | | |
|-----|-----------------------------|-----|
| 1. | Accident | 13 |
| 2. | Bailment | 1 |
| 3. | Certification | 3 |
| 4. | City Ordinance | 1 |
| 5. | Collection | 1 |
| 6. | Consumer | 8 |
| 7. | Contract | 1 |
| 8. | Criminal | 47 |
| 9. | Discipline | 1 |
| 10. | DUI* | 3 |
| 11. | Expungement | 3 |
| 12. | Family | 20 |
| 13. | Housing | 33 |
| 14. | Insurance | 6 |
| 15. | Miscellaneous | 3 |
| 16. | Name Change | 9 |
| 17. | Power of Attorney | 1 |
| 18. | Promissory Note | 1 |
| 19. | Towing | 1 |
| 20. | Traffic | 88 |
| | | --- |
| | TOTAL | 244 |

* The DUI category does not reflect all of the DUI cases, as they were categorized under the Traffic heading prior to November, 1986.

STUDENT LEGAL SERVICE

Advice Only Matters -- July 1, 1986 - June 30, 1987

| | | |
|-----|-------------------------------------|-----|
| 1. | Accident | 67 |
| 2. | Administrative Law | 2 |
| 3. | Adoption | 1 |
| 4. | Affidavit | 1 |
| 5. | Alcohol | 1 |
| 6. | Bad Check | 1 |
| 7. | Bailment | 4 |
| 8. | Banking | 4 |
| 9. | Bankruptcy | 13 |
| 10. | Business | 2 |
| 11. | Certification | 8 |
| 12. | City Ordinance | 1 |
| 13. | Civil | 6 |
| 14. | Civil Assault | 1 |
| 15. | Civil Rights | 1 |
| 16. | Collection | 33 |
| 17. | Community College Dispute | 1 |
| 18. | Consumer | 120 |
| 19. | Contract | 44 |
| 20. | Copyright | 5 |
| 21. | Credit | 2 |
| 22. | Criminal | 102 |
| 23. | Debts | 1 |
| 24. | Defamation | 1 |
| 25. | Discipline | 2 |

| | | |
|-----|-------------------------------------|-----|
| 26. | Driving Privileges | 1 |
| 27. | DUI | 7 |
| 28. | Election | 4 |
| 29. | Employment | 11 |
| 30. | Employment Discrimination | 1 |
| 31. | Estate | 1 |
| 32. | Expungement | 2 |
| 33. | Family | 74 |
| 34. | Felony | 2 |
| 35. | Financial Aid | 15 |
| 36. | First Amendment | 2 |
| 37. | Housing | 254 |
| 38. | Immigration | 9 |
| 39. | Information | 7 |
| 40. | Insurance | 39 |
| 41. | Intra-Sorority | 1 |
| 42. | Intra-University | 3 |
| 43. | Jury Duty | 3 |
| 44. | Labor Law | 1 |
| 45. | Loan | 1 |
| 46. | Medical Malpractice | 3 |
| 47. | Medical Records | 1 |
| 48. | Miscellaneous | 53 |
| 49. | Motor Vehicle | 2 |
| 50. | Name Change | 5 |
| 51. | Negligence | 9 |
| 52. | Notary | 16 |

| | | |
|-----|----------------------------------|-----|
| 53. | Patent | 2 |
| 54. | Paternity | 2 |
| 55. | Personal Injury | 19 |
| 56. | Political Organization | 1 |
| 57. | Power of Attorney | 6 |
| 58. | Privacy | 1 |
| 59. | Product Design | 1 |
| 60. | Promissory Note | 2 |
| 61. | Property Damage | 6 |
| 62. | Real Estate | 5 |
| 63. | Residency | 2 |
| 64. | R.O.T.C. | 1 |
| 65. | Sales | 19 |
| 66. | Securities | 1 |
| 67. | Selective Service | 1 |
| 68. | Small Claim | 10 |
| 69. | Student Loan | 1 |
| 70. | Tax | 5 |
| 71. | Title Transfer | 2 |
| 72. | Tort | 19 |
| 73. | Towing | 21 |
| 74. | Traffic | 251 |
| 75. | University | 11 |
| 76. | U.S. Customs | 2 |
| 77. | Wills | 2 |

TOTAL: 1,344

SLS SURVEY - ADVICE ONLY MATTERS*

PLEASE CIRCLE ONE

1. I was satisfied with the goal the staff and I agreed to pursue in my case:

| | | | | |
|-----------------|--------|-----------|-----------|-------------------|
| Strongly agree, | Agree, | Not sure, | Disagree, | Strongly disagree |
| 53% | 37% | 8% | 3% | 0% |

2. I consider the final resolution of my case to be fair:

| | | | | |
|-----------------|--------|-----------|-----------|-------------------|
| Strongly agree, | Agree, | Not sure, | Disagree, | Strongly disagree |
| 47% | 37% | 6% | 6% | 3% |

3. The outcome of my case resolved my legal concern:

| | | | | |
|-----------------|--------|-----------|-----------|-------------------|
| Strongly agree, | Agree, | Not sure, | Disagree, | Strongly disagree |
| 32% | 26% | 39% | 3% | 0% |

4. The final resolution of my case was what I desired:

| | | | | |
|-----------------|--------|-----------|-----------|-------------------|
| Strongly agree, | Agree, | Not sure, | Disagree, | Strongly disagree |
| 23% | 33% | 21% | 21% | 3% |

5. In my opinion, the U.S. legal judicial system as a whole is:

a. Very fair, Fair, Unfair, Very unfair, No opinion

| | | | | |
|----|-----|-----|----|-----|
| 5% | 64% | 18% | 0% | 13% |
|----|-----|-----|----|-----|

b. Very understandable, Understandable, Confusing, Very confusing,

| | | | |
|----|-----|-----|----|
| 3% | 33% | 46% | 3% |
|----|-----|-----|----|

No opinion

10%

c. Very unbiased, Unbiased, Biased, Very biased, No opinion

| | | | | |
|----|-----|-----|----|-----|
| 3% | 34% | 29% | 5% | 29% |
|----|-----|-----|----|-----|

d. Very responsive, Responsive, Unresponsive, Very unresponsive,

| | | | |
|----|-----|-----|----|
| 5% | 41% | 30% | 3% |
|----|-----|-----|----|

No opinion

22%

e. Very helpful, Helpful, Unhelpful, Very unhelpful, No opinion

| | | | | |
|----|-----|-----|----|-----|
| 6% | 44% | 22% | 3% | 25% |
|----|-----|-----|----|-----|

5. Lawyers and other legal professionals on the whole are:

- a. Very trustworthy, Trustworthy, Untrustworthy, Very untrustworthy,
10% 63% 5% 3%
- No opinion
20%
- b. Very approachable, Approachable, Unfriendly, Very unfriendly,
13% 74% 3% 0%
- No opinion
10%
- c. Very professional, Professional, Unprofessional,
21% 74% 0%
- Very unprofessional, No opinion
0% 5%
- d. Very industrious, Industrious, Lazy, Very lazy, No opinion
23% 56% 2% 0% 18%
- e. Very responsible, Responsible, Irresponsible, Very irresponsible,
24% 63% 3% 0%
- No opinion
11%
- f. Very clear, Clear, Vague, Very vague, No opinion
23% 45% 15% 3% 15%
- g. Very concerned, Concerned, Unconcerned, Very unconcerned,
10% 70% 5% 0%
- No opinion
15%
- h. Very dependable, Dependable, Undependable, Very undependable,
15% 74% 3% 0%
- No opinion
8%

7. I respect the U. S. legal judicial system:
- | | | | | |
|------------|-----------|-------------|--|--|
| Very much, | Somewhat, | Very little | | |
| 31% | 67% | 3% | | |
8. Since my recent experience with SLS, this respect has:
- | | | | | |
|------------|--------------------|-----------|--|--|
| Increased, | Remained the same, | Decreased | | |
| 31% | 69% | 0% | | |
9. I felt I was treated with courtesy and respect by the SLS staff:
- | | | | | |
|-----------------|--------|-----------|-----------|-------------------|
| Strongly agree, | Agree, | Not sure, | Disagree, | Strongly disagree |
| 75% | 23% | 3% | 0% | 0% |
10. The staff members were approachable: I felt as though I could ask questions and discuss matters freely:
- | | | | | |
|-----------------|--------|-----------|-----------|-------------------|
| Strongly agree, | Agree, | Not sure, | Disagree, | Strongly disagree |
| 66% | 34% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
11. I felt the waiting period for my appointment with SLS was reasonable, given my understanding of their situation:
- | | | | | |
|-----------------|--------|-----------|-----------|-------------------|
| Strongly agree, | Agree, | Not sure, | Disagree, | Strongly disagree |
| 69% | 31% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
12. I felt the staff members assigned to my case were competent:
- | | | | | |
|-----------------|--------|-----------|-----------|-------------------|
| Strongly agree, | Agree, | Not sure, | Disagree, | Strongly disagree |
| 65% | 33% | 3% | 0% | 0% |
13. I felt the staff afforded me adequate opportunity to participate in the handling of my case:
- | | | | | |
|-----------------|--------|-----------|-----------|-------------------|
| Strongly agree, | Agree, | Not sure, | Disagree, | Strongly disagree |
| 49% | 49% | 0% | 3% | 0% |
14. I felt SLS gave me the same quality service as a private law office would have:
- | | | | | |
|-----------------|--------|-----------|-----------|-------------------|
| Strongly agree, | Agree, | Not sure, | Disagree, | Strongly disagree |
| 48% | 30% | 23% | 0% | 0% |
15. I would use SLS again if I had a qualifying legal problem:
- | | | | | |
|-----------------|--------|-----------|-----------|-------------------|
| Strongly agree, | Agree, | Not sure, | Disagree, | Strongly disagree |
| 68% | 33% | 0% | 0% | 0% |

16. Through my experience in the legal process, and because of the particular way SLS operated, I have:

a. A better understanding of the legal process:

Strongly agree, Agree, Not sure, Disagree, Strongly disagree

30% 48% 20% 3% 0%

b. A better understanding of the role and function of attorneys:

Strongly agree, Agree, Not sure, Disagree, Strongly disagree

22% 49% 24% 3% 3%

c. Been motivated to take a greater interest in the law and current legal issues:

Strongly agree, Agree, Not sure, Disagree, Strongly disagree

11% 24% 49% 11% 3%

d. Learned that there is not necessarily a legal remedy for every problem situation:

Strongly agree, Agree, Not sure, Disagree, Strongly disagree

23% 37% 23% 9% 9%

17. I feel the SLS represents a valuable service to students:

Strongly agree, Agree, Not sure, Disagree, Strongly disagree

88% 13% 0% 0% 0%

18. I feel support of SLS to be a good allocation of a percentage of my SORF fee monies:

Strongly agree, Agree, Not sure, Disagree, Strongly disagree

78% 23% 0% 0% 0%

19. I feel that without SLS I would have had greater difficulty in resolving my problem:

Strongly agree, Agree, Not sure, Disagree, Strongly disagree

50% 40% 10% 0% 0%

20. In retrospect, the legal problem I had affected my:

a. Academic performance:

Strongly agree, Agree, Not sure, Disagree, Strongly disagree

22% 16% 11% 24% 27%

b. Job performance:

Strongly agree, Agree, Not sure, Disagree, Strongly disagree

16% 19% 11% 22% 32%

c. Family life:

Strongly agree, Agree, Not sure, Disagree, Strongly disagree

26% 28% 15% 15% 15%

d. Social relationships:

Strongly agree, Agree, Not sure, Disagree, Strongly disagree

5% 35% 20% 20% 20%

e. General well-being:

Strongly agree, Agree, Not sure, Disagree, Strongly disagree

18% 38% 18% 13% 13%

Comments: _____

NOTE: Because the figures have been rounded to the nearest whole number, some of the percentages may add up to slightly more or less than 100%.

SLS SURVEY - OPEN CASES*

PLEASE CIRCLE ONE

1. I was satisfied with the goal the staff and I agreed to pursue in my case:

Strongly agree, Agree, Not sure, Disagree, Strongly disagree
86% 14% 0% 0% 0%

2. I consider the final resolution of my case to be fair:

Strongly agree, Agree, Not sure, Disagree, Strongly disagree
50% 41% 9% 0% 0%

3. The outcome of my case resolved my legal concern:

Strongly agree, Agree, Not sure, Disagree, Strongly disagree
73% 23% 0% 5% 0%

4. The final resolution of my case was what I desired:

Strongly agree, Agree, Not sure, Disagree, Strongly disagree
45% 41% 9% 5% 0%

5. In my opinion, the U.S. legal judicial system as a whole is:

a. Very fair, Fair, Unfair, Very unfair, No opinion

23% 50% 9% 0% 18%

b. Very understandable, Understandable, Confusing, Very confusing,

5% 73% 23% 0%

No opinion

0%

c. Very unbiased, Unbiased, Biased, Very biased, No opinion

4% 59% 14% 5% 18%

d. Very responsive, Responsive, Unresponsive, Very unresponsive,

18% 73% 0% 5%

No opinion

5%

e. Very helpful, Helpful, Unhelpful, Very unhelpful, No opinion

19% 62% 5% 0% 14%

6. Lawyers and other legal professionals on the whole are:

a. Very trustworthy, Trustworthy, Untrustworthy, Very untrustworthy,
24% 38% 5% 0%

No opinion

33%

b. Very approachable, Approachable, Unfriendly, Very unfriendly,

32% 45% 0% 0%

No opinion

23%

c. Very professional, Professional, Unprofessional,

33% 38% 14%

Very unprofessional, No opinion

0% 14%

d. Very industrious, Industrious, Lazy, Very lazy, No opinion

27% 41% 0% 0% 32%

e. Very responsible, Responsible, Irresponsible, Very irresponsible,

32% 41% 0% 0%

No opinion

27%

f. Very clear, Clear, Vague, Very vague, No opinion

33% 33% 10% 0% 24%

g. Very concerned, Concerned, Unconcerned, Very unconcerned,

36% 36% 5% 0%

No opinion

23%

h. Very dependable, Dependable, Undependable, Very undependable,

23% 55% 0% 0%

No opinion

23%

7. I respect the U. S. legal judicial system:

Very much, Somewhat, Very little

41% 59% 0%

8. Since my recent experience with SLS, this respect has:

Increased, Remained the same, Decreased

45% 55% 0%

9. I felt I was treated with courtesy and respect by the SLS staff:

Strongly agree, Agree, Not sure, Disagree, Strongly disagree

77% 23% 0% 0% 0%

10. The staff members were approachable: I felt as though I could ask questions and discuss matters freely:

Strongly agree, Agree, Not sure, Disagree, Strongly disagree

82% 18% 0% 0% 0%

11. I felt the waiting period for my appointment with SLS was reasonable, given my understanding of their situation:

Strongly agree, Agree, Not sure, Disagree, Strongly disagree

68% 27% 0% 0% 5%

12. I felt the staff members assigned to my case were competent:

Strongly agree, Agree, Not sure, Disagree, Strongly disagree

82% 18% 0% 0% 0%

13. I felt the staff afforded me adequate opportunity to participate in the handling of my case:

Strongly agree, Agree, Not sure, Disagree, Strongly disagree

59% 36% 5% 0% 0%

14. I felt SLS gave me the same quality service as a private law office would have:

Strongly agree, Agree, Not sure, Disagree, Strongly disagree

62% 24% 14% 0% 0%

15. I would use SLS again if I had a qualifying legal problem:

Strongly agree, Agree, Not sure, Disagree, Strongly disagree

86% 14% 0% 0% 0%

16. Through my experience in the legal process, and because of the particular way SLS operated, I have:

a. A better understanding of the legal process:

Strongly agree, Agree, Not sure, Disagree, Strongly disagree

32% 64% 5% 0% 0%

b. A better understanding of the role and function of attorneys:

Strongly agree, Agree, Not sure, Disagree, Strongly disagree

23% 68% 9% 0% 0%

c. Been motivated to take a greater interest in the law and current legal issues:

Strongly agree, Agree, Not sure, Disagree, Strongly disagree

0% 43% 48% 10% 0%

d. Learned that there is not necessarily a legal remedy for every problem situation:

Strongly agree, Agree, Not sure, Disagree, Strongly disagree

10% 30% 56% 5% 0%

17. I feel the SLS represents a valuable service to students:

Strongly agree, Agree, Not sure, Disagree, Strongly disagree

100% 0% 0% 0% 0%

18. I feel support of SLS to be a good allocation of a percentage of my SORF fee monies:

Strongly agree, Agree, Not sure, Disagree, Strongly disagree

91% 9% 0% 0% 0%

19. I feel that without SLS I would have had greater difficulty in resolving my problem:

Strongly agree, Agree, Not sure, Disagree, Strongly disagree

86% 14% 0% 0% 0%

20. In retrospect, the legal problem I had affected my:

a. Academic performance:

Strongly agree, Agree, Not sure, Disagree, Strongly disagree

27% 9% 23% 36% 5%

b. Job performance:

Strongly agree, Agree, Not sure, Disagree, Strongly disagree

32% 0% 18% 45% 5%

c. Family life:

Strongly agree, Agree, Not sure, Disagree, Strongly disagree

23% 32% 14% 23% 9%

d. Social relationships:

Strongly agree, Agree, Not sure, Disagree, Strongly disagree

9% 18% 41% 27% 5%

e. General well-being:

Strongly agree, Agree, Not sure, Disagree, Strongly disagree

36% 36% 14% 9% 5%

Comments: _____

*NOTE: Because the figures have been rounded to the nearest whole number, some of the percentages may add up to slightly more or less than 100%.

STUDENT LEGAL SERVICE ADVISORY BOARD

1986-87

| | | |
|-------------------|---|----------|
| GERARD BRADLEY | 241 Law Building, MC 594 502 East Peabody Drive, C. | 3-0477 |
| HOWARD S. DIAMOND | 502 East John St., #210, C., MC302 | 4-2400 |
| TIMOTHY MADIGAN | 258 Administration Bldg., MC340 Campus Legal Counsel 506 South Wright St., U. | 3-0560 |
| EDWARD RAWLES | RENO, O'BYRNE & KEPLEY P.O. Box 693 Champaign, IL 61820 | 352-7661 |
| WILLIAM RILEY | 130 Student Services Bldg., MC304 610 E. John St., C. | 3-2121 |
| <hr/> | | |
| JANET ANDERSON | 130 Student Services Bldg., MC314 610 E. John St., C. | 3-2121 |
| JIM CAREY | <i>Rm 2</i> 110 Student Services Bldg., MC314 610 E. John St., C. | 3-7060 |
| CATHY REED | SGA, Student Government Assoc., 297 Illini Union | 3-6543 |
| <u>STUDENTS</u> | | |
| JESSICA CLARKE | 902 S. 2nd St., C. | 344-7732 |
| KEN GANCH | Acacia Fraternity 501 E. Daniel, C. | 328-9704 |
| GLENN GUTTMAN | 211 E. John, #107, C. | 337-5170 |
| JAMES ROEFES | 52 E. John, C. | 328-4171 |
| JAN STEWART | 1208 E. Green, U. | 328-4099 |